

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | NG DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/648,641 | 08/25/2000 | | Ronda M. Allen | 04121.0165-00000 | 5632 |
| 22852 | 7590 | 01/12/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| FINNEGA | N, HENDE | RSON, FARAB | MELLER, MICHAEL V | | |
| LLP | TOT NINE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 1300 I STRE WASHINGT | | 20005 | 1654 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| • | 09/648,641 | ALLEN ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Michael V. Meller | 1654 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | · | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Oc | <u>ctober 2003</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This a | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17-26</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-9 and 19-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 10-15, 17, 18 are</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | epted or b) \square objected to by the I | Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | ,. □ <u>.</u> | (DTO 440) Daniel 11 () | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal P | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/648,641

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims 3-9, 19-26 which are drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 3. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 10-16, 17, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a DNA polymerase from *Pyrococcus furiosis*, does not reasonably provide enablement for a DNA polymerase from any and all "samples". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/648,641

Art Unit: 1654

The specification as filed, is enabled for a DNA polymerase from *Pyrococcus* furiosis, but is not enabled for a DNA polymerase from any and all "samples".

The art of biotechnology is a highly unpredictable art and it would be an undue burden for one of ordinary skill in the art to test any and all sources to see if they contained the claimed enzyme.

Applicant has only shown in their examples one source of the claimed enzyme, namely, *Pyrococcus furiosis*. With only knowing this one source it is clear that such broad claims are not enabled by the instant specification when one of ordinary skill in the art is only given one particular source from which to isolate the claimed enzyme.

Applicant argues that the specification recites that recombinantly produced archael polymerases that are purified by the novel methods of the invention are known but the invention only shows that this type of enzyme was successfully used in the purification system.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/648,641

Art Unit: 1654

Claims 1, 2, 10-15, 17, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Bezuglyi et al., Bernard et al., or Grandogenett et al.

The references each teach a DNA polymerase being purified using Poly U Sepharose chromatography.

It would have been obvious to use the specific types of polymerases and cells since such cells and enzymes are well known in the art. To use such enzymes and cells are simply the choice of the artisan in an effort to optimize the desired results.

To use such archaebacterial DNA polymerases is known in the art as is shown by applicant's own admission of the art as shown by Lasken et al. on page 2 of applicant's specification. Thus, to use such specific enzymes and/or cells is well known in the art and simply the choice of the artisan in an effort to optimize the desired results.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1654

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 703-308-4230. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 703-306-3220. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654

MVM